אקטואליה – EZ

Damascus at the gate

By Ari Shavit

Is it possible to <u>achieve</u> peace with Syria? It's <u>doubtful</u>. It's true that <u>Damascus</u> is at the gate and Syrian President Bashar Assad is sending <u>increasingly</u> strong peace signals, and it's also true that Syria is not an <u>inseparable</u> part of the <u>axis</u> of <u>extremism threatening</u> the Middle East today. After all, the <u>secular</u> nationalist <u>regime</u> in Damascus is supposed to be standing up to <u>Shi'ite</u> Islamic extremism, and not serving as its <u>bridgehead</u> to the Arab world. But a peace agreement with Israel is a <u>genuine</u> challenge to the <u>minority</u> <u>Alawite</u> government and could <u>endanger</u> the <u>stability</u> of the <u>anachronistic</u> Baathist regime.

A peace agreement with Israel would <u>deny</u> Syria its identity as <u>the flag bearer of</u> Arab nationalism and would turn it into a <u>marginal</u> Middle Eastern country. A peace agreement would bring <u>prosperity</u> to Israel and Lebanon, and maybe even economic <u>cooperation</u> between the two countries, and would turn their large neighbor into an unimportant, backward country living in their shadow. There is no reason why the Syrians should agree to that.

The Golan Heights may be <u>breathtaking</u>, but the price Syrian may have to pay for its return could greatly <u>reduce</u> the chances of <u>implementing</u> such an agreement. <u>Nevertheless</u>, Israel cannot permit itself to continue to refuse making peace. It cannot treat Bashar Assad as it treated Egyptian president Anwar Sadat in 1972. The <u>bleak prospects</u> for achieving peace should <u>sober expectations</u> and lead to greater caution, but the <u>pessimistic</u> outlook should not cause total <u>paralysis</u>. If the Syrians really are ready for peace, we should know that rather than assume it. We should do everything possible not only to achieve peace, but also to <u>prevent</u> war.

The leadership of the Israel Defense Forces sees the situation as follows: In the <u>wake</u> of the Lebanon war, the Syrian regime has reached a point of either-or: either diplomatic progress, or military <u>escalation</u>. The era of the <u>status quo</u> is over. The 30 years of no war and no peace in the Golan Heights have come to an end. That is the true, historical significance of the second Lebanon war.

The signals from Damascus are not only of a peaceful nature; there are signals about putting an end to the quiet on the Golan Heights, about applying the <u>principle</u> of <u>resistance</u> on the Golan and about <u>replicating</u> the Hezbollah model there. The IDF does not assume that Syria will <u>initiate</u> war against Israel in the coming year, but senior officers believe we are at a <u>crossroads</u>. If there is no diplomatic process there will not be quiet. If there is no quiet there will be escalation. And escalation could very well <u>spiral</u> into war.

The mission of the Israeli government must be clear: to make every effort to prevent the situation from <u>deteriorating</u> and to prove to the Israeli public that if violence <u>erupt</u>, it is not because of Israel's refusal. The soldiers who would be sent to the next war must know that their government did everything possible to prevent war, that every last opportunity was <u>exploited</u>, that every knock at the door was answered.

U.S. President George W. Bush is not interested in Israeli-Syrian peace. Israel is <u>beholden</u> to President Bush and is committed to his honor, but if he <u>errs</u> on the Syrian issue as he did in Iraq, it is not his citizens who will pay the price.

Israel does not have to be <u>brazen</u> in <u>confronting</u> those sitting in Washington, but neither can it behave like its <u>vassal</u>. Israel cannot act <u>in contradiction</u> to its interests only because it has been told to do so by a failing U.S. <u>administration</u> in its final days. The Syrian front is both sensitive and complex. There is no black and white here, and no absolute good versus absolute evil.

Therefore, when <u>embarking</u> on a diplomatic process we have to consider the arguments of those who oppose it. The chances of achieving a genuine peace are not great, and Syria might try to use negotiations to <u>lure</u> Israel into a trap. We have to come up with new kinds of proposals and <u>adhere</u> strictly to our red lines. But we must get the show on the road, using caution, wisdom and creativity.

VOCABULARY

achieve - להשיג, לבצע

מפוקפק, מוטל בספק - doubtful

Damascus - דמשק

increasingly - במידה גוברת, יותר

inseparable - בלתי נפרד

axis - ציר

extremism - דגילה בקיצוניות

threatening - מאיים

secular - חילוני

regime - שלטון, משטר

Shi'ite - שיעי

bridgehead - ראש גשר

genuine - אמיתי, מקורי

minority - מיעוט

Alawite - עלאווי, זרם באיסלאם

endanger - לסכן

stability - יציבות

anachronistic - מיושן, שעבר זמנו, אנכרוניסטי

deny - לשלול, להתכחש

the flag bearer of - ביטוי, נושאת דגל, אם רוחנית

marginal - שולי, זעום

prosperity - שגשוג, הצלחה, שפע

cooperation - שיתוף פעולה

The Golan Heights - רמת הגולן

breathtaking - עוצר נשימה

reduce - לצמצם, להקטין

implementing - ליישם, לבצע

Nevertheless - בכל זאת, אף על פי כן

bleak - קר, עגום

prospects - תקווה, סיכוי

sober - פיכח, צלול

expectations - ציפיות

pessimistic - פסימי, רואה שחורות

paralysis - שיתוק

prevent - למנוע

wake - (כפועל- להעיר) שובל, נתיב, במהלך

escalation - הסלמה

status quo - המצב הקיים, סטטוס קוו

significance - משמעות, חשיבות

principle - עקרון

resistance - התנגדות

replicating - להעתיק

ליזום, לפתוח ב- initiate

crossroads - צומת דרכים

spiral - להתפתל כבורג

deteriorating - להתדרדר, להחמיר

exploited - לנצל, למצה

beholden - מחוייב, אסיר תודה

errs - לטעות, לשגות

brazen - להתחצף

confronting - לעמת, להתעמת

vassal - אריס, משועבד

in contradiction - בסתירה

administration - ניהול, ממשל

embarking - להתחיל

lure - לפתות

adhere - לדבוק